nf

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR · | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/550,799                             | 06/28/2006  | Meir Shragai           | 30689               | 3971             |
| 7590 03/13/2007<br>Martin Moynihan     |             | ·                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| PRTSI                                  |             |                        | LU, TOM Y           |                  |
| P O Box 16446<br>Arlington, VA 22215   |             |                        | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 711111191011, 771                      |             |                        | 2624                |                  |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE |             | MAIL DATE              | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
| 31 DAYS                                |             | 03/13/2007             | PAPER               |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|  |   | Application No.                                | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|
|  |   | 10/550,799                                     | SHRAGAI ET AL. |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner                                       | Art Unit       |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Tom Y. Lu                                      | 2624           |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 September 2005</u> .  |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| -  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 3)[  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |  |                |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.                       |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 6)□  | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| ,  | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice  | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary                           |                |  |  |  |  |
|  | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)                 | Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5) Notice of Informal P |                |  |  |  |  |
|  | er No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:                                      | •••            |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/550,799

Art Unit: 2624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 7-11, 13-15 and 17 are, drawn to the detection of changes between images and subsequent verification of those changes, classified in class 382, subclass 154 or 219.
- II. Claims 18 and 30, drawn to registering aerial photos using cross-correlation, classified in class 382, subclass 294.
- III. Claims 42 and 49, drawn to classifying tie points as either stable or unstable, classified in class 382, subclass 224.

Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as the detection of changes between images and subsequent verification of changes; subcombination II has separate utility such as registering aerial photos using cross-correlation; and subcombination III has separate utility such as classifying tie points as either stable or unstable. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional

application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

If applicant elects Group I to prosecute on the merits, then applicant will be further required to elect a species of the invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Application/Control Number: 10/550,799

Art Unit: 2624

Species 1, defined by claim 1.

Species 2, defined by claims 8 and 9.

Species 3, defined by claims 10 and 11.

Species 4, defined by claims 13 and 14.

Species 5, defined by claims 15 and 17.

The species are independent or distinct because each of the above species utilizes mutually exclusive techniques to validate candidate changes.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 7 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/550,799

Art Unit: 2624

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Y. Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-7393. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (571)-272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

